

V1-Conditionals in German and English
– an Historical-Contrastive Approach

– Torsten Leuschner (Ghent University) –

Among the most rewarding topics of crosslinguistic comparison are syntactic cognates in closely related languages like English and German. A case in point are V1-conditionals:

- (1) *Scheitert* der Euro, dann scheitert Europa. (Merkel)
- (2) *Should* the Euro fail, Europe will fail. (Google)

German V1-protases can contain any verb form at all, including main verbs like *scheitern* 'fail' in the present indicative. English V1-protases allow just *should*, *had* and *were*, which are all non-present (Leuschner/Van den Nest 2015).

After an introductory survey of V1-conditionals in German and English, I will successively adopt the synchronic and the diachronic perspectives. In the synchronic perspective, so-called Conditional Inversion (Iatridou/Embick 1994) in English has recently been the site of a (perhaps unexpected) meeting of generativist and constructionalist minds. What construction grammarians regard as the isolation of *should-/had-/were*-conditionals in an already fragmented V1 sub-constructicon (Kim 2011) has been regarded by some generativists as evidence of a lexically defined "nano"-level at the bottom of the head movement hierarchy (Biberauer/Roberts 2016). German V1-conditionals can in turn be modelled as a node in a well-structured V1 sub-constructicon (Diessel 2007) or as the result of movement to C as a structurally, rather than lexically, defined mesoparameter. From the constructionalist point of view, the routine occurrence in German of proverbs in the form of V1-conditionals, and of slogans derived from or alluding to such proverbs, can be treated as evidence of the schematisation of the V1-conditional as a constructional template (Leuschner 2020).

In the second part of my talk, attention will shift from synchronic contrasts to the comparison of diachronies ("Sprachwandelvergleich", cf. Fleischer/Simon, eds., 2013). Starting from the observation that V1-conditionals in German and English have been developing asynchronously, i.e. from similar origins but at different speeds (König 2012), I will highlight the advantages of a functionalist account centred on the historical processes of grammaticalization and constructionalization (Traugott/Trousdale 2013).

References

- Biberauer, Theresa/Roberts, Ian (2016): "Parameter Typology from a Diachronic Perspective: the Case of Conditional Inversion." In: Bidese, Ermenegildo/Cognola, Federica/Moroni, Manuela C. (eds.), *Theoretical Approaches to Linguistic Variation*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins, 259-291.
- Diessel, Holger (2007): "Verberstkonstruktionen im Englischen und Deutschen." In: Gallmann, Peter/Lehmann, Christian/Lühr, Rosemarie (eds.): *Sprachliche Motivation. Zur Interdependenz von Inhalt und Ausdruck*. Tübingen: Narr, 27-41.

- Fleischer, Jürg/Simon, Horst J. (eds., 2013): *Sprachwandelvergleich – Comparing Diachronies*. Berlin/Boston: de Gruyter.
- Iatridou, Sabine/Embick, David (1994): "Conditional Inversion." In: *NELS* 24, 189-203.
- Kim, Jong-Bok (2011): "English Conditional Inversion: a Construction-Based Approach." In: *Language and Information* 15, 13-29.
- König, Ekkehard (2012): "Contrastive Linguistics and Language Comparison." In: *Languages in Contrast* 12, 3-26.
- Leuschner, Torsten (2020): "V1 in Proverbs and Slogans: a German-Based Contrastive View." In: Georgiafentis, Michalis/Giannouloupoulou, Giannoula/Koliopoulou, Maria/Tsokoglou, Angeliki (eds.): *Theoretical Approaches to Contrastive Linguistics*. London etc.: Bloomsbury, 45-59.
- Leuschner, Torsten/Van den Nest, Daan (2015): "Asynchronous Grammaticalization: V1-Conditionals in Present-Day English and German." In: *Languages in Contrast* 15, 34-64.
- Traugott, Elizabeth C./Trousdale, Graeme (2013): *Constructionalization and Constructional Changes*. Oxford: OUP.